

Docket No.: 2292-0038-0 PCT

OBION
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.C.

NORMAN F. OBLON (703) 413-3000 NOBLON@OBLON.COM

HARRIS A. PITLICK (703) 413-3000 HPITLICK@OBLON.COM \*BAR OTHER THAN VIRGINIA

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RE: Application Serial No.: 08/722,144

Applicants: Masaji ISHIGURO, et al.

Filing Date: December 12, 1996

CPA Filed: July 9, 1999

For: PENEM DERIVATIVES AND ANTIMICROBIAL AGENT

CONTAINING THE SAME

Group Art Unit: 1624 Examiner: Berch, M.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Petition Under 37 CFR §1.181 to Vacate Notice of Improper CPA Filing Under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d) Notice of Improper CPA Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (copy)

Our check in the amount of \$130.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIEN & NEUSTAIDT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon
Attorney of Record

Registration No. 24,618

Harris A. Pitlick

Registration No. 38,779

RECEIVED

SEP 2 8 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) I:\atty\HAP\22920038-PTOCVR.wpd



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

•

MASAJI ISHIGURO ET AL

: EXAMINER: BERCH, M

SERIAL NO.: 08/722,144

FILED: DECEMBER 12, 1996

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1624

CPA FILED: JULY 9, 1999

RECEIVED

SEP 2 8 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENT CONTAINING THE SAME

FOR: PENEM DERIVATIVES AND

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR §1.181 TO VACATE NOTICE OF IMPROPER CPA FILING

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Applicants respectfully request that a Notice of Improper CPA Filing under 37 C.F.R. §1.53(d), mailed July 26, 2001 ("Notice"), be vacated, and that a CPA filing date of June 27, 2001 be granted.

UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.53(d)

A request for a CPA was filed on June 27, 2001 (most-recently filed CPA). A previous CPA was filed July 9, 1999 (prior-filed CPA), but without the filing fee. In response to a Notice to File Missing Parts, Applicants paid the filing fee, and other fees due, on September 11, 2000.

The Notice asserts that the most-recently filed CPA is improper, because the prior-filed CPA was filed after May 29, 2000.

09/27/2001 CNGUYEN 00000135 08722144

A1 EC-122

130 00 00

\_

While, as discussed above, the filing fee for the prior-filed CPA was filed after May 29, 2000, the PTO, in granting the July 9, 1999, filing date, in effect held that this CPA was filed on that date, and not on the subsequent date on which the filing fee was actually paid.

Applicants recognize the 37 C.F.R. §1.53(d)(i)(A) provides that a CPA may be filed provided the prior nonprovisional application is filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) before May 29, 2000, and is complete as defined by §1.51(b). Nevertheless, based on telephone conversations with professionals in the Office of Patent Legal Administration, and particularly with Legal Advisors Robert Clarke and Mark Polutta, undersigned counsel was orally briefed by Mr. Polutta that granting of the presently-requested CPA filing date of June 27, 2001 is consistent with the interpretation of the Office of the above-discussed 37 C.F.R. §1.53(d)(i)(A).

This petition is filed because nothing has yet been received in writing, and the 2-month deadline provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.181(f) will soon expire.

 $\bigcirc$ 

For all of the above reasons, it is respectfully requested that the Notice be vacated, and that a CPA filing date of June 27, 2001, be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLOW, SPIVAK MICCLELLAND,

MANGE & MEUSTANT, P.C

Norman F. Oblon

Attorney of Record
Registration No. 24,618

Harris A. Pitlick Registration No. 38,779

22850

(703) 413-3000

Fax No.: (703) 413-2220

NFO:HAP:law

I:\atty\HAP\22920038-181pet.wpd

0

**RECEIVED** 

SEP 2 8 2001

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
APPLICATION NUMBER FILING/RECEIPT DATE	

08/722,144

12/12/96

**ISHIGURO** 

M

2292-038-0 POT

NM11/0726 022850 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWA ARLINGTON VA 22202 JUL 3 1 2001

BERCH, M

DATE MATLED:

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND

07/26/01

ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE

NOTICE OF IMPROPER CPA FILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(d)

Rex Re: ImpuracPAFE No Filing Date Granted

The Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) request deposited on 6/27 O/is improper under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and has not been granted a filing date for reason(s) indicated below.

Any assertions that the above-mentioned CPA request is proper under 37 CFR 1.53(d) must be by way of petition directed to the attention of the Office of Petitions. Any such petition must be accompanied by the \$130.00 petition fee (37 CFR 1.17(0)). If the petition alleges that no defect exists, a request for refund of the petition fee may be included in the petition.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.53(e) as discussed above must be submitted within TWO MONTHS of the date of this notice (37 CFR 1.181(1)). THIS TIME LIMIT MAY NOT BE EXTENDED PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136. Failure to timely file a petition under 37 CFR 1.53(e) will result in the CPA request being retained in the file of the prior application but treated as never having been filed, in which case applicant may request a refund of the CPA filing fee (if submitted) less the \$130.00 handling fee (37 CFR 1.21(n)).

•	The prior application is not a complete (37 CFR 1.51(b)) application or the national stage of a PCT international application that is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371.
<b>D</b> 2. ;	The request for a CPA was not filed before the payment of the issue fee on the prior application. The issue fee was paid on the prior application on
	The request for a CPA was not filed before the abandonment of, or termination of proceedings on, the prior application was abandoned, or proceedings terminated on
<b>D</b> 4.	A petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.17(a))  are necessary to establish copendency between the prior application and this CPA request.
<b>Ø</b> 5.	OTHER: Prior application was filed as RCE
4	it a response and prior application ales
u	A petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the appropriate to (5) are necessary to establish copendency between the prior application and this CPA request.  OTHER: Prior application was filed as RCE Research from the filed as RCE Research from application was filed as RCE response and from application was filed as RCE response and from application was necessary from the representation was filed as RCE and a response and from the representation was necessary from the representation of the response and from the representation was necessary to establish copendency between the prior application and this CPA request.

PART 1 - ATTORNEY/APPLICANT CO

RECEIVED

SEP 2 8 2001

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**